Weymouth MCAS and Accountability Results

October 24, 2019
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## What Should We Remember?

- Spring 2017 was a baseline year for a new MCAS test in grades 3-8.
- Spring 2019 MCAS scores can be compared to Spring 2017 and 2018, but should NOT be compared to previous years' scores.
- Accountability ratings from 2018 and 2019 can be compared, but should not be compared to previous years' ratings.


## What Else Should We Know?

- Next Generation MCAS (NEW) is consistent and calibrated
- A score of 500 means the same thing regardless of grade level or content area (Grades 3-8 and 10 for ELA and Math)
- Legacy MCAS (OLD) varies in difficulty across grades
- Proficiency in science at grade 5 does not have the same meaning as proficiency in science at grade 8 or grade 10



## NEXT GENERATION MCAS RESULTS FOR WEYMOUTH

## Achievement Data:

Percent of Students Meeting/Exceeding Expectations

ELA

100

80


Math

100

80


## English Language Arts Achievement Results

## State

## Weymouth

| Grade | Average Scaled Scores |  |  | Scaled Score <br> Change, 2017 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | to 2019 |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scaled Score |  |  |  |
| Change, 2017 |  |  |  |  |$|$

## Mathematics Achievement Results: Change from 2017 to 2019

## State

## Weymouth

| Grade | Average Scaled Scores |  |  | Scaled Score <br> Change, 2017 <br> to 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 498.8 | 499.9 | 499.4 | +0.6 |
| Grade 4 | 498.0 | 497.9 | 499.2 | +1.2 |
| Grade 5 | 498.6 | 497.5 | 498.5 | -0.1 |
| Grade 6 | 499.2 | 498.6 | 500.8 | +1.6 |
| Grade 7 | 498.6 | 497.5 | 498.2 | -0.4 |
| Grade 8 | 499.6 | 498.8 | 499.0 | -0.6 |
| Grades 3-8 | $\mathbf{4 9 8 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 0 . 4}$ |
| Grade 10 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0 5 . 1}$ |  |


| Grade | Average Scaled Scores |  |  | Scaled Score <br> Change, 2017 <br> to 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 2017 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |
| Grade 3 | 499.9 | 500.2 | 499.4 | -0.5 |
| Grade 4 | 496.0 | 499.9 | 499.4 | +3.4 |
| Grade 5 | 491.8 | 491.9 | 494.9 | +3.1 |
| Grade 6 | 498.7 | 494.4 | 497.3 | -1.4 |
| Grade 7 | 493.6 | 496.7 | 492.8 | +0.4 |
| Grade 8 | 494.3 | 492.1 | 494.5 | +0.2 |
| Grades 3-8 | $\mathbf{4 9 5 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 5 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 6 . 4}$ | +0.7 |
| Grade 10 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0 0 . 0}$ |  |

Grade 5, 8, and 10

## LEGACY MCAS (OLD)

 PERFORMANCE: SCIENCE
## Next Generation Science and Technology/Engineering Grades 5 \& 8

## State

|  | Grade 5 | Grade 8 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exceeding <br> Expectations | $8 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Meeting <br> Expectations | $40 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Partially <br> Meeting | $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ |  |
| Not Meeting <br> Expectations | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ |  |
| Avg. Scaled <br> Score | 498.9 | 498.2 |  |

## Legacy Science and Technology/Engineering <br> Grade 10



## Percent of Students

## Meeting/Exceeding Standards

Grade 5 Science


Grade 8 Science
60


## Percent of Students <br> Meeting/Exceeding Standards

Grade 10 Science
100


## AVERAGE STUDENT GROWTH

## Average Student Growth: ELA

(Goal is $50+$ )


## Average Student Growth: Math

(Goal is $50+$ )



## Average Growth: Lowest Quartile Students

(Goal is $50+$ )

ELA
100

80


Math
100

80


## Resources for Parents

- Student MCAS Results
- MCAS Results mailed home to parents on October 10, 2019
- Released MCAS Test Items
- Approximately 50\% of items released at grades 3-8; 100\% released at grade 10 ELA and math
- mcas.pearsonsupport.com/released-items/
- Samples of essays
- Samples of student work for each score point for ELA, math and STE; ELA essays include annotations for each score point.
- www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/student/2019/


# ACCOUNTABILITY RESULTS: HOW DO WE MEASURE UP? 

## 2019 State

## Accountability



- Next Generation Accountability System
- High Schools
- Non High Schools
- Indicators Used
- Categorization of Schools


## Accountability System



## Accountability System



## Ways to measure

 improvement in football:1. Total points
2. Total yards passing
3. Total yards rushing
4. Number of incomplete passes
5. Number of interceptions

## What Makes Up the Accountability System? (Grades 3-8)

| Indicator | Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| Achievement | Average Scale Score for ELA and math <br> Science achievement (CPI) |
| Student Growth | Average growth percentile in ELA and <br> math |
| English Language Proficiency | Progress made by student towards <br> attaining English Proficiency |
| Additional | Chronic absenteeism (missing 10\% or <br> more days) |
| Note: Computed for All Students AND Lowest Quartile separately |  |

## What Makes Up the Accountability System? (High School)

| Indicator | Measure |
| :--- | :--- |
| Achievement | ELA, Math, and Science achievement (CPI) |
| Student Growth | Average growth percentile in ELA and math |
| High School Completion | $>$Four-year cohort graduation rate <br>  <br> $>$ <br> Annual drop-out rate |
| Extended engagement rate (five year graduation |  |
| rate plus percent of students still enrolled) |  |

Note: Computed for All Students AND Lowest Quartile separately

Percent of ELL Students Making Sufficient Progress Towards English Language Proficiency on the ACCESS Test

Grades 1-8
100\%


Grades 9-12
100\%

80\%


# Chronic Absenteeism 

[Missing $10 \%$ or more days $=18$ days per year]

## Grades 1-8

40\%

## 30\%



## WHS

40\%

## $30 \%$



## Other High School Indictors

Advanced Coursework


4 Year Graduation Rate


## Categorization of Schools

Schools without Required Assistance or Intervention

| Meeting Targets | Partially Meeting Targets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $75-100 \%$ <br> of points |  |  | Limited Progress |

Schools Requiring Assistance or Intervention

| Focused or Targeted Support | Broad Comprehensive Support |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. School percentile of 1-10, <br> B. Low graduation rate (<67\%), <br> C. Low participation on MCAS (<95\%), OR <br> D. Low performing subgroup (percentile of 1-5) | Underperforming Schools <br> Chronically underperforming Schools <br> [Handful of schools determined by the State] |

## Categorization of Schools

| School without Required Assistance or Intervention |  |  |  | School Requiring Assistance or Intervention |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Targets | Partially Meeting Targets |  |  | Targeted Support | Broad <br> Support |
|  | Substantial Progress | Moderate Progress | Limited Progress |  |  |
| Pingree | Adams <br> Academy <br> Murphy <br> Seach <br> Talbot <br> Wessagusset | Chapman Hamilton Nash WHS | None | Chapman (High Needs Subgroup) | None |

## Weymouth Schools

| School | Percentile <br> Ranking <br> (1-99) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Points } \\ & \text { Earned } \\ & \text { (0-100\%) } \end{aligned}$ | MCAS <br> Participation | Any Low Performing Subgroups? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academy | 65 | 65\% | マ | No |
| Hamilton | 76 | 49\% | $\square$ | No |
| Murphy | 50 | 63\% | V | No |
| Nash | 53 | 47\% | $\square$ | No |
| Pingree | 65 | 94\% | $\nabla$ | No |
| Seach | 38 | 53\% | $\square$ | No |
| Talbot | 69 | 70\% | $\square$ | No |
| Wessagusset | 39 | 59\% | $\nabla$ | No |
| Adams | 28 | 62\% | $\square$ | No |
| Chapman | 14 | 38\% | $\square$ | Yes |
| WHS* | 34 | 44\% | $\square$ | No |

# Weymouth Accelerated Improvement Plan (AIP) continues to guide our work. 

## Strategic Levers

1. PROFESSIONAL LEARNING FOCUSED ON THE

INSTRUCTIONAL CORE: Provide professional development and opportunities for collaboration that improve educator practice by focusing on the instructional core.
2. EFFECTIVE USE OF DATA: Implement aligned system of curriculum, accountability and inquiry that uses multiple sources of data.
3. PARENT AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT: Engage and partner with parents and community members in supporting excellence in academic skills, knowledge and mindsets.

## TIERED SUPPORT FOR SCHOOLS

Tier 3
Schools with Low Growth

Tier 2

All schools

## 1. PROFESSIONAL LEARNING FOCUSED ON THE INSTRUCTIONAL CORE

## Areas of Need

- Low growth
- Grades 5 and 8 (ELA and math)
- Grade 7 (ELA)
- Lowest quartile students at WHS
- Fewer students meeting/exceeding the standard in math as compared to ELA
- Grades 3-6 and 10
- Below the state average in science for all grades


## NEW Support Provided

Fully staffed curriculum
leadership team
$\checkmark \quad$ STEM Director
$\checkmark \quad$ Humanities Director
Four Assistant Directors

1. STEM
2. Humanities
3. Performing Arts
4. Social Emotional Learning

## 2. EFFECTIVE USE OF DATA

## Area of Need

- Average scale score below 500
- Grades 5-8 for ELA
- Grades 3-8 for Math

Note: scale score of 500-529 is meeting expectations

## NEW Support Provided

$\checkmark$ Launched Data Workbook in Aspen
a) Created through the Supplemental Support to Districts for Enhancing Data Use Throughout the School Year (one-year state grant)
b) Adams, Chapman, WHS
c) Focused on strengthening data use for instructional decision making
$\checkmark$ Targeted support provided by the State

## 2. PARENT AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

## Area of Need

- Chronic absenteeism rates remain higher than desired
- $11 \%$ for grades 1 to 8
- 22\% for grades 9-12

NEW Support Provided

- Hired Full Time Assistant Director of Social-Emotional Learning
- More attention to the Early Warning Indicator System (EWIS) data
- Aspen Attendance Module updated and increased focus with administration and PBIS


## Focus on the Future

$>$ Pockets of excellence in classrooms throughout the district

We are working on replicating this excellence and this work is being supported by our Instructional Coaches, Principals, Curriculum Directors, and Assistant Curriculum Directors.

Goal is to ensure consistent, high quality educational experience in ALL classrooms for ALL children.


## What we still need to move the needle

- Stable Leadership
- School Leaders
- Curriculum Content Directors/Assistant Directors
- Additional specialized staff to support the growing needs of our students and potential changes with grade configurations
- Increase in staff positions to provide universal full day kindergarten for all


## What we still need to move the needle (continued)

- Consistent specialist curriculum for Pre-K and K classrooms
- Continued investment in our technology infrastructure with a focus on integration
- Increase opportunities for students:
- Enrichment/elective opportunities (e.g., music, arts, wellness/physical activities, world language, etc.)
- Advisory-like experiences to support social emotional learning (PreK-4 and 7-12)



## What we still need to move the needle (continued)

- Continue to grow administrators' capacity to support educational leadership, rather than facility management, with a focus on staff efficacy
- Collaboration support for special education and general education staff for inclusion and Universal Design for Learning (UDL)
- Support for all WPS staff to ensure self-care (i.e., actions we take as individuals to ensure our own mental, emotional, and physical health)


## Questions

